

The Impacts of Increased Adverse Weather Events on Freight Movement

TranSET 8-18-009 ITS

Kate Hyun, PhD & Mehrdad Arabi (PhD Student)

University of Texas at Arlington

Contents

- Background
- Study Area
- Data
- Methodology
- Preliminary Results
- Conclusion and Future Work



2016 Population: 28 million 2045 Projection: 39 million

http://txsdc.utsa.edu/Data/



Background

- Freight movements expected to increase
 42 percent by the year of 2040
 - NFSP (US DOT, 2016) reported that assuming no capacity changes, truck and passenger vehicle traffic will increase peak-period congestion by 34 percent in 2040.

Adverse Weather Events

- With significant increases in freight volumes, the impacts from severe weather events to port truck traffic may cause an economic loss in Texas and throughout the region
- Because of the ports' coastal location and global climate change, adverse weather events, which include flash floods and hurricanes, have become more frequent and severe.



Hurricane Harvey, 2017

- A Category 4 storm, Hurricane Harvey, brought catastrophic floods to the Houston area inflicting \$125 billion in damage
- In the first week, the storm directly affected nearly 10 percent of all US trucking and other transportation throughout the Texas coastal area due to flooded roadways and damaged infrastructure.



UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS 📌 ARLINGTON

Texas Freight Mobility Plan

- Maintaining infrastructure and improving system efficiency by increasing the resiliency of the State's freight transportation system and effectively responding to natural and man-made disasters
 - A short-term regional plan: developing strategies to minimize the impacts on multimodal freight network caused by frequent adverse weather events
 - A long-range plan: designing flexible and reliable freight transportation as a regional priority

Project Goals

- Characterize the port truck movements by identifying operational patterns by associated industry and service types and evaluate system response during adverse weather events
- Investigate the port truck flows from the port of Houston throughout its metropolitan region (Houston-Galveston Area Council) and further destinations in the region



Study Area



The Port of Houston, TX



- Located in the fourth-largest city in the US
- The busiest port in the U.S. in terms of foreign tonnage,
- Second-busiest in the U.S. in terms of overall tonnage, and
- Sixteenth-busiest in the world

Growth in Houston Export Containerized Tonnage

	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	'11-'16 CAGR %
Los Angeles/Long Beach	29,973,261	27,059,059	27,886,875	28,071,297	23,672,299	28,929,355	-0.7%
Houston, TX	10,926,561	12,047,628	13,799,281	16,801,238	17,787,418	14,221,518	5.4%
Savannah, GA	14,351,476	12,518,824	11,939,780	12,463,801	11,769,924	12,062,782	-3.4%
New York/New Jersey	11,402,486	10,309,642	9,639,822	9,224,426	9,439,392	10,449,107	-1.7%
Oakland, CA	7,793,629	7,278,709	7,260,225	7,075,258	6,540,280	7,834,400	0.1%

http://www.h-gac.com/freight-planning/ports-area-mobility-study/documents/180124.HGAC.Project.Workshop-Rev-180124.pd

Port Truck Movement



10

Port of Houston



UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS 🛧 ARLINGTON

Port Facilities



Data - Port Truck



Truck Travel Behaviors

 Trucks serving different industries or service types have different delivery schedules and routechoice behaviors





Streetlight GPS Data

- Large-sized GPS data will be used to represent individual trip characteristics such as travel time, origin-destination (OD), major route choice, and industry type
- The larger coverage of GPS data provides a larger portion of vehicle traffic and reduces sampling bias in traffic estimates.
- Before and after Harvey to understand the effect of weather events on truck behaviors faced with a disrupted network

UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS





Methodology



Performance Measures (PM)

Types of PMs

- Zonal OD
- Travel routes

Level of geography

- Port
- Regional: FAF
- Local
 - Railway Terminal
 - Transfer Points (Depot)
 - Local warehouses



Methodology Summary





Preliminary Results



Port Operation – Seasonal

Barbours Cut Terminal

Bayport Container Terminal





Port Operation – During Harvey

Average Daily Zone Traffic (Barbours Cut)



Average Daily Zone Traffic (Bayport Container)



UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS 🛧 ARLINGTON

Route Choices (Port)

Normal Days During Hurricane



Regional Movements (Neighboring FAFs)



The Impact of Route Disruptions

Normal Days
 During Hurricane







Local Movements





Route Choices for Local Trips

• During Hurricane Normal Days







Conclusion

- Hurricane impacted OD movements and Link/Route choices during a peak and/or recovery times depending on the...
 - type of ports
 - types of movements (regional vs. local)
- These spatially and temporally varying patterns (or resiliency) require further investigations on more disaggregated level of impact analysis



Future Work

- Develop resiliency measures to understand and quantify the impacts from Harvey
- Develop performance measures to detect the deviation/abnormality from typical behaviors
- Understand how a single (or multiple) link disruption(s) may affect local or regional movements



Potential Applications

- Understanding distinct port truck activities and the behavioral changes of freight movements during severe weather events such as Hurricane Harvey represents the first step for fast system recovery to minimize economic, social, and human impacts from the events
- Agencies may adopt a variety of mitigation strategies to enhance resiliency and sustainability of port truck operations by accurately predicting their route choices, transport mode choices, and delivery schedule changes caused by severe weather events.



Thank you

Questions? Kate.hyun@uta.edu





THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT AUSTIN CENTER FOR TRANSPORTATION RESEARCH



A Perspective on Intraregional Freight Planning Capabilities and the Implications for Megaregional Planning

C. Michael Walton, Ph.D., P.E.

Ernest H. Cockrell Centennial Chair in Engineering

Rydell Walthall

Graduate Research Assistant

The University of Texas at Austin





Today's Talk

- Importance of understanding planning capabilities
- Creation of a regional planning database
- How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions
- Steps for more consistent freight planning





Today's Talk

- Importance of understanding planning capabilities
- Creation of a regional planning database
- How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions
- Steps for more consistent freight planning





- Planning capabilities can affect the types of projects considered and will affect project evaluation.
- Planning capabilities vary from organization to organization, even within the same megaregion.







What do we mean by planning capabilities?

- For this presentation: planning capabilities include the tools and inputs do planners have available
 - An example of a tool would be the travel demand model available
 - An example of input would be voting seats or committees for stakeholder involvement







Today's Talk

- Importance of understanding planning capabilities
- Creation of a regional planning database
- How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions
- Steps for more consistent freight planning




- Database developed in collaboration with two other CM2 projects
- Variables in the database attempt to capture each MPO's inputs for non-automobile modes:







- CM2 Researchers created a comprehensive database
 of all 404 MPOs across the country
- The database compiles information about how each MPO addresses planning for non-automotive modes, including freight.
- Parts of the database:
 - Governance Structures
 - Committees
 - Modeling Capabilities





Governance Variables in the Database

- This section of the database examines the size and make-up of each MPO's decision-making body
- Looks separately at voting and non-voting representation on each MPO's Policy Board
- Variables include the total seats on the policy board, the total voting seats, and the voting and ex-officio seats for non-automotive modes





Committee Variables in the Database

- Committees provide platforms for stakeholders to provide the MPO with feedback on projects
- This part of the database shows for each MPO whether it has a dedicated planning committee for each mode, separate from general planning committees.





Modeling Variables in the Database

- These variables examine the travel demand model each MPO uses for its long-range travel plan
 - Answers the question: Does the MPO use forecasting models capable of predicting project outcomes for non-automotive modes?
- If the MPO's models cannot analyze the benefits accruing to a mode, that mode might receive relatively low funding for projects.





Creation of a Regional Planning Database Sources for the Database

- Researchers used publicly available resources where available:
 - MPO bylaws
 - MPO websites
 - Model documentation
- When information was not readily available, researchers contacted MPOs directly.





Today's Talk

- Importance of understanding planning capabilities
- Creation of a regional planning database
- How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions
- Steps for more consistent freight planning





How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions

- With the MPO database, it is possible to answer two types of questions:
 - How do different MPO's plan for non-automotive modes?
 - How consistent is planning across a megaregion?







How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions

- To achieve megaregional planning for projects spanning many MPOs, we need to know how freight planning is handled across an entire megaregion
- The impacts of freight projects often extend far beyond the region in which the project occurs.
- This is even more important for projects affecting whole corridors.







How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions Database Governance Findings

- Most MPOs have up to twenty voting seats, but the distribution has a long right tail.
- Number of voting seats is not directly related to MPO population



MPO Policy Board Voting Seats

Number of Voting Seats





How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions Database Governance Findings

- Very few small MPOs have voting seats dedicated to transit representation, but most in the upper twenty percentile population do.
- Very few MPOs of any size have voting seats dedicated to freight stakeholders.





How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions Database Committee Findings

- About one in four MPOs have active transport committees; about one in eight have transit committees.
- Fewer than one in forty MPOs have freight or airport committees.
 - SCAG and NCTCOG are examples of large MPOs with such committees
 - Orange County in New York is the smallest MPO with such a committee (population 373,000 in 2010)

5	Has Committee	Does Not Have Committee
Airport	10	394
Active Transport	106	298
Transit	54	350





How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions

Database Committee Findings

- Larger-population MPOs are far more likely to have committees for non-automotive transport.
- Almost no MPOs have committees dedicated to freight issues, but several of the larger MPOs have airport committees









How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions

Database Modeling Findings

- MPOs in every population decile model freight movements, but the largest MPOs are far more likely to do so.
- Freight is more likely to be modeled than active transport; less likely than transit







Today's Talk

- Importance of understanding planning capabilities
- Creation of a regional planning database
- How planning capabilities vary across MPOs and regions
- Steps for more consistent freight planning





Steps for More Consistent Megaregional Planning

- Many MPOs across the country are considering freight issues, but there is not consistency across Megaregions.
- Returning to the America 2050 Megaregion Map, many important freight projects go beyond the scope of any single MPO, or even state DOT.







Steps for More Consistent Megaregional Planning

- Many megaregions have a large number of MPOs with no mechanism in place to ensure consistent planning across the megaregion.
- One exception is Florida, where all MPOs meet planning guidelines setout by Florida DOT.

Megaregion	MPOs within megaregion	MPOs adjacent to megaregion
Arizona Sun Corridor	4	1
Cascadia	11	3
Florida	23	3
Front Range	7	1
Great Lakes	71	20
Gulf Coast	19	2
Northeast	46	11
Northern California	12	3
Piedmont Atlantic	34	6
Southern California	6	3
Texas Triangle	9	7





Steps for More Consistent Megaregional Planning

- State legislatures and DOTs play large roles in determining MPO governance structures.
- They may be key in providing more representation for non-automotive modes.
- In Florida, MPOs use models created by the state DOT.
 - This has ensured broad planning consistency across the entire Florida Megaregion.
 - The Florida DOT is in the process of developing more advanced activity-based and dynamic assignment models, meaning the Florida Megaregion could become the first to use such planning tools across an entire megaregion.





Summary:

- There is a lot of inconsistency in the availability of planning tools and the methods of stakeholder inclusion across MPOs in each megaregion.
- Larger MPOs have more planning resources. Smaller MPOs may require assistance to plan for freight projects spanning an entire corridor through a megaregion.
- There are similar trends for other non-automotive modes aside from freight.





C. Michael Walton, Ph.D., P.E.

Ernest H. Cockrell Centennial Chair in Engineering

Dept. of Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering

The University of Texas at Austin

301 E. Dean Keeton Street, Stop C1761

Austin, TX 78712

512-471-1414

cmwalton@mail.utexas.edu

Rydell Walthall

Graduate Research Assistant

rwalthall@utexas.edu

Methods and Tools for Freight Flow Disaggregation

March 4, 2020

D. Pallme, A. Kosanovic, TN-DOT K. Pujats, M. Golias, S. Mishra, Univ. of Memphis

Contents



Brief project overview



Production/Attraction Methods



OD Disaggregation



GIS Tools

Background

TN DOT Ongoing Study (Began April 2019)

Objective

- Review freight disaggregation methods
- Develop in-house GIS tools

Team members:

- TNDOT: D. Pallme, A. Kosanovic
- UoM: M. Golias, S. Mishra, K. Pujats

Methods

How do we create disaggregate freight OD?

Approach 1: Productions/Attractions => OD Matrix

Approach 2: OD Disaggregation

Both consider relationship between:

- Commodity producing/consuming industries
- Socio-economic variables
- Some impedance function

Used Data Sources and Crosswalk Tables

Data Sources:

- Transearch
- InfoUSA
- Bureau of Economic Analysis (BEA) Input-Output (IO) Accounts Supply and Use tables
- Network Data (Zones, Links, Facilities)

Crosswalk Tables:

- BEA IO Account code to NAICS code crosswalk
- SCTG 2-digit to NAICS 3-digit crosswalk (see Anderson et al., 2013)

Anderson, M., Blanchard, L., Neppel, L., Khan, T., 2013. Validation of Disaggregate Methodologies for National Level Freight Data. International Journal of Traffic and Transportation Engineering 2013, 2(3): 51-54. DOI: 10.5923/j.ijtte.20130203.05

GIS Toolbox

Preprocessing tools:

- 1. Transearch: SCTG3=>SCTG2 (County level in TN)
- 2. IO Accounts Supply and Use: Industry shares (producing and using) by IOCode, NAICS
- 3. Spatial and economic data (InfoUSA): Aggregate and disaggregate values/shares for sq. ft., value of sales, employment

Disaggregation tools:

- 1. Trip productions/attractions disaggregation=> Create OD
- 2. Direct OD Disaggregation



Preprocessing Tools

💐 Transearch Preprocessing Tool
Transearch Database
I 🖻 🗋
Study Area County Geographic File
J 🖻
Production and Attraction Estimation (optional)
Average Commodity Flow Length Estimation (optional)
Split Data by Unique Field Attributes (optional)
Unique Field Attributes (optional) County SCTG_2D Equipment Trade_Type Mode
Select All Unselect All Add Value
Select Data by County (optional)
Counties (ontional)
Select All Unselect All Add Value
OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

, 	IO Accounts Use Table
	🗹 🔁
þ	IO Accounts Industry Code to NAICS 3-digit Code Crosswalk Table
	🔟 🔁
	Convert IO Accounts Supply and Use Tables to NAICS 3-digit Code (optional)
	Estimate 10 Associate Supply and Use Commodity Producing and Using Industry Charge (artispal)
	Estimate to Accounts Supply and use commonly Froducing and Using industry Shares (optional)
	Estimate IO Accounts Supply and Use Commodity Producing and Using Industry Shares with a Minimum (%) of Shares (optiona
ļ	Viinimum (%) of Commodity Producing and Using Industry Share (optional)
	10 ~
	Dutput Folder
l	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	OK Cascel Environmente Collide Hele

💐 Spatial and Economic Data Preprocessing Tool	1000			×
 InfoUSA Database 				~
		-	B	
Study Area County Geographic File			formation of	
		•	B	
 Disaggregate Zone Geographic File 				
		•	F3	
J Diesograpste Zone Sostial Identifier			-	
			~	
Economic Indicators				
Employment				
Sales				
	_		_	
Select All Unselect All		Add Value	ŝ.	
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level				
- sentere serie serie introdue entree et stedgregete seret				
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level				
Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and L	ongitu	ude (option	nal)	
Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional)				
		Ŧ	12	
		_		
Output Folder				
				\sim
OK Cancel Environments		Show H	leln >>	
en e		SHOW		-

Transearch Preprocessing Tool

Transearch Preprocessing Tool
Transearch Database
🔰 🗹 🗹
Study Area County Geographic File
Production and Attraction Estimation (optional)
Average Commodity Flow Length Estimation (optional)
Split Data by Unique Field Attributes (optional)
Unique Field Attributes (optional) County SCTG_2D Equipment Trade_Type Mode
Select All Unselect All Add Value
Select Data by County (optional)
Counties (optional)
Select All Unselect All Add Value Output Folder
OK Cancel Environments << Hide Help

- From SCTG 3 to SCTG 2 digit code BY:
 - i. Equipment type
 - ii. Trade type
 - iii. Mode
- Average length (miles between ODs)
- Estimate Productions and Attractions (Aggregate Level)



Spatial and Economic Data Preprocessing Tool

InfoUSA Database Study Area County Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Dutput Folder	
Study Area County Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators Select All Unselect All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Setimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Tansearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Cutput Folder	- 14
Study Area County Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Setimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Tansearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Unput Folder	
Disaggregate Zone Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Dutput Folder	-+
Disaggregate Zone Geographic File Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Dutput Folder	
Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators	-+
Disaggregate Zone Spatial Identifier TAZ Economic Indicators	
Economic Indicators	
Continue indicators Imployment Sales Sales Square_Footage Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Unselect All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value	~1
Sales Square_Footage Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional)	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Select Al	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Select All Select All Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Select All Select All Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Select All Select All Dutput Folder Select All Select All Select All Select All	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Image: Select All Select A	_
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Image: Select All Select A	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Image: Content of Content	_
Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Output Folder	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Image: Select All Select A	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Image: Select All Select A	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Output Folder	
Select All Unselect All Add Value Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional)	
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Dutput Folder	
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Shares at Disaggregate Level Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Dutput Folder	_
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Dutput Folder	
Estimate Zone Economic Indicator Values at (Dis)Aggregate Level Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Output Folder	
Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Output Folder	
Estimate Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Latitude and Longitude (optional) Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional) Output Folder	1357
Transearch Zone Geographic File (optional)	0
Output Folder	
Output Folder	
	_
OK Cancel Environments Show Hel	_

- Economic indicator shares and values at disaggregate level
- Centroid of disaggregate and Transearch zones (estimate travel times or length)



IO Accounts Supply and Use Table Conversion Tool

Latt	💐 IO Accounts Supply and Use Table Conversion Tool			
•	IO Accounts Supply Table	~		
	🗾 🗹			
٠	IO Accounts Use Table			
۰	IO Accounts Industry Code to NAICS 3-digit Code Crosswalk Table			
	j 🖸 🖸			
	Convert IO Accounts Supply and Use Tables to NAICS 3-digit Code (optional)			
	Estimate IO Accounts Supply and Lise Commodity Producing and Lising Industry Shares (optional)			
	Estimate IO Accounts Supply and Use Commodity Producing and Using Industry Shares with a Minimum (%) of Shares (optiona			
	Minimum (%) of Commodity Producing and Using Industry Share (optional)			
•	Output Folder			
		~		
	OK Caricei Environments << Hide Help			

• Supply and Use Shares (any level of aggregation available)



OD Estimation/Disaggregation

💐 IO Accounts and Proportional Weight Disaggregation M	ethod	То
 Transearch Preprocessed Database 		~
	6	
Economic Indicator Spatial Share Table		
▼	2	
 SCTG 2-digit to NAICS 3-digit Code Crosswalk Table 		
	6	
IO Accounts Commodity-Producing Industry Share Table		
	6	
IO Accounts Commodity-Using Industry Share Table	-d	
Freight Flow Tonnage Lower Bound		
Qutput Folder	-	
	1	5
OK Cancel Environments << Hic	le Help	

💐 IO Accounts and Regression Disaggregation Method Tool		
 Transearch Preprocessed Database 		~
	2	
Productions and Attractions		
	e de la coma de la coma Coma de la coma de	
Study Area County Geographic File	1	
SCTG 2-digit to NAICS 3-digit Code Crosswalk Table		
_	6	
IO Accounts Commodity-Producing Industry Share Table	-	
IO Accounts Commodity Union Toductor Share Table		
	ri -	
Aggregate Zone Economic Indicator Value Tables	_	
	2	
 Disaggregate Zone Economic Indicator Value Tables 	c .t	
Uistribution Using: Gravity Model (optional)		
Disaggregate and Transearch Zone Centroid Files (optional)	<u></u>	
Average Commodity Flow Travel Length Tables (optional)		
	6	
Distribution Using: Proportional Weighting (optional)		
Distribution Lleing: Iterative Proportional Etting (ontional)		
Cravity and or Iterative Propertional Eiting Telerance (%) (optional)		
Gravity and/or iterative Proportional Fitting Tolerance (%) (optional)	~	
Freight Flow Tonnage Lower Bound (optional)		
Output Folder	~	
	6	U
OK Cancel Environments << Hi	de Help	

Proportional Weight OD Disaggregation



Proportional Weight OD Disaggregation Example Output





Regression Disaggregation Method Tool



Regression Method Tool Example Output



Next Steps

- Finalize GIS Tool Test
 - Tonnage to Truck Trip Conversion
 - Fix Bugs (if any)
- Validation/ Comparison of the two methods
- Finalize report by August 2020
Daniel Pallme: daniel.pallme@tn.gov

Amy Kosanovic: <u>amy.kosanovic@tn.gov</u>

Mihalis Golias: mgkolias@memphis.edu

Methods and Tools for Freight Flow Disaggregation

March 4, 2020

D. Pallme, A. Kosanovic, TN-DOT K. Pujats, M. Golias, S. Mishra, Univ. of Memphis